

WI CLAR

Program Annual Report Civil Engineering

Offered by

Civil Engineering Department

2017-2018



A- Basic Information

1. Program Title	Civil Engineering			
2. Specialization	Civil			
3. Number of Educational Years	5			
4. Credit Hours	179			
5. The system Used to Select the	The exam committee consists of a group of at least two			
Exams Committee	staff (maximum four) in same field.			
6. External Examiner	Available y Not available			
	The institute has nominated external examiners to carry out the evaluation of graduation projects			

B- Specialized information

7-Statistics:										
7-a-No. of Students Enrolled in this	1248									
Program										
7-b-Percentage of Success in the		90 %	(2014-2	015 l	evel 1 to t	he numl	ber of g	gradua	ition)	
Program										
7-c-The Number of Enrolling		Ye	ear			Numb	per of s	tuden	ts	
Students in the Program Through	2015-2016 328									
the Last Three Years										
	2016-2017				335					
	2017-2018 345									
7-d-Percentage of Success in the	No. of students			No. of p	bassing		Perce	ntage	%	
Last Exam		18	9		17	'1		90	.47%	
7-e-Percentage of Successful	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D
Students According to Grades	0	0	9	28	30	45	59	0	0	0
Obtained										
	0%	%0	5 %	16 %	5 18 %	26 %	35 %	0%	0%	0%
					I		I	I	I	
8-Academic Standards										



<u>8-a- Academic Reference</u> <u>Standards</u>	National Academic Reference Standard (NARS) for Civil program derived from generic engineering standards of National Agency for Quality Assurance & Accreditation for Education (NAQAAE) were adopted and approved by Institute council.
8-b- Student Support Systems for Low and High Achievers	 In general any student is guided by an academic advisor individually. Based on the number of students in each department, an academic advisor is assigned a group of students. Each student has got a complete record – STUDENT FILE– which his academic advisor uses every term during the student's registration procedure for his courses. Enlightened by this data the academic advisor can guide the student to register according to plans that will grantee a better performance for each student individually. Students under average GPA 2.0 are dealt with more special care to aid them reorganize their courses and avoid staying under probation. Students with special needs are also aided on social and academic level by their academic complaint procedure which outlines the actions to ensure that problem situations are handled on a timely and professional basis. The student academic Advisor is kept updated with any outcomes of the student complaint. Similarly, any student's appeal for his courses grades are dealt with using an academic appeal procedure which outlines the actions to ensure that problem situations are handled on a timely and professional basis. The student academic Advisor is kept updated with any outcomes of the student complaint.
8-c- Program Reference Standard	- National Academic Reference Standard (NARS) for Civil program
<u>Measure</u>	derived from generic engineering standards of National Agency for Quality Assurance & Accreditation for Education (NAQAAE) were adopted and approved by Institute council.
<u>8-d- Program Catalog</u>	Available Y Not available Available on the website of institute <u>http://site2.dhiet.net/</u>
8-e- Availability of Regular Evaluation and Revision System for the Program	Available Y



	Net evelleble			
	Not available			
8 <u>-f- Compatibility of Academic</u> Structure of the Program with the ILOs	 Compatible program courses are compatible with ILOs as indicated in the attached comparison matrix 			
9- Student Assessment for				
Measuring the Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs)				
<u>9- Assessment Methods</u>	Different types of methods verify the performance of students distributed on Intended Learning Outcomes:			
	1- Written Exams including short Quizzes, midterm exam, and final term exam to asses Knowledge, understanding and Intellectual Skills.			
	2- Oral Exams including those taken during laboratory hours to asses Knowledge, Intellectual Skills and General Skills.			
	3- Assignments and course term work to asses Knowledge Skills, Intellectual Skills, Professional Skills, General and Transferable Skills.			
	4- Mini projects (single student or team work) to asses Professional, Practical Skills, General and Transferable Skills.			
	5- Practical Work to asses Professional, Practical Skills, General and Transferable Skills.			
	6- Graduation Projects (single student or team work) to asses Professional, Practical Skills, General and Transferable Skills.			

10- Learning Resources

10-a - No. and Ratio of Institute Members and their Assistants to students (Full Time & Part Time)

	Dona	rtmont Staff	No		Students	Students No. Vs. Department
	Depa	rtment Staff No.		No.	Staff No.	
	Prof.	Associate Prof.	Assistant Prof.	Total		
Full Time	2	3	10	15	1240	66
Part Time	3	0	1	4	1248	66
Total	5	3	11	19		



		Depar	tment Staff	No.	Students No.	Students No. Vs. Department Staff No.
		Dem.	Ass. Lec.	Total		
	Full Time	10	12	22	1248	57
	Part Time	0	0	0	1248	57
	Total	10	12	22		
	b Matching			Appropriate	Y Appro	opriate to some extent
	ember's Spec ogram needs		<u>to</u>	Not Appropriate		
				number of staff	with respect an deduce th	mentioned statistics concerning the to the number of students in each nat most of the specialties in the
<u>10-</u>	<u>c-Adequacy</u>	of Library	Facilities	Appropriate	Y Appropr	iate to some extent
				Not Appropriate		
				• In general, the library serving the academic community as a whole		
				• Regular opening hours are from Saturday – Thursday (9 am – 4:00 pm) except during the summer it ends at 2:30 pm.		
				• It offers a distidirect connection t		o-date information service through
				•	professionals	graduate students, faculty members, with a maximum capacity to
				• The books and wide range of topic		e of the latest editions and cover a
				• The library also supervises and orders the student textbooks requested by the department every year. They are given to the students through the Library book store facilities.		
<u>10</u> -	d- Adequacy	y of Labora	<u>tories</u>	Appropriate	Y ,	Appropriate to some extent
				Not Appropriate		
				• Laboratories are available and covering most of the Engineering program courses.		
			Most of the Equipment's& Software are available for students.			
				Each Lab has go	ot a qualified te	echnician.



	• The average number of students is 4 students/ experiment or less according to the lab capacity.				
<u>10-e- Adequacy of Computer</u> <u>Facilities</u>	Appropriate Y Appropriate to some extent Not Appropriate				
	 Computer Laboratories are available and covering most of the program Civil Engineering courses needed. Some of the computer Labs consists of a range of 20 - 30 				
	computers all of which are connected through a network and with access to the Internet.				
	Most of the Software is available for students.				
	Qualified Technicians, with very good computer usage skills.				
	 The average number of students is 1 student / computer or according to the lab capacity. 				
<u>10-f- Adequacy of Field / Practical</u> <u>Training Resources</u>	• A student can start his training after the 6 th and the 8 th term of study during the summer.				
	• Over 85% of the students gained a real chance to be well trained and some of which joined those same places after graduation.				
	Each student completes a technical report in which he gives				
	a good points about the field site . Also he prepared report every week that include his recommendations for the training.				
	• All students are obliged to be trained during the summer unless there is a critical excuse presented by the student, such as a medical or social excuse. However a student still has to complete his training course later on as a graduation requirement.				
<u>10-g- Co-operation with Industry</u>					
Companies for Students Training	•There is a great cooperation with almost all companies allover Egypt				
	for training the students.				
	•There is a half yearly meeting with Consultant Industrial Committee				
	concerning the development of the program as well as providing				
11– Quality and Enhancements System	training opportunities for the students.				
<u>11-a-Follow up System for</u> weaknesses	Active Y Active to limited extent				
	•One of the ways of insuring that the program objectives are being				



	fulfilled is by continuous observing of the following:					
	Staff performance : Each lecturer (full time and part time as well) is evaluated according to a lecturer assessment sheet which contains areas for evaluating his performance. The evaluation depends on several inputs including the students' feedback and the program manager opinion based on the students' statistics analysis. Furthermore, the participation of the full time staff members in the department's administrative activities, social activities and scientific activities (published papers and attended conferences) are assessed by the program manager.					
11-b-Effectiveness of Institute laws	Suitable Y Suitable to limited extent					
and Regulations for Progression	Not Suitable					
and Completion	• All of our regulations and procedures are monitored within the departments / institute.					
	 All of the procedures according to their relevance are known and used by the appropriate personnel either he / she is teaching or administrating. 					
	• Student attendance is also followed by a special procedure that covers most of the details based on his attendance rate of the course.					
	• Any staff member can raise non-conformity report about any defect or misuse of procedures or regulations of the faculty /university.					
<u>11-c-Effectiveness of Program</u> Internal Evaluation System	 Internal Evaluators Professors only must be relevant to the Program being reviewed. Unbiased Professionals each in his field of Specialty. Able to offer remarkable recommendations which can be used to improve the system Students Student feedback is a great aid to course evaluation. Specific lecturing, tutorials/ labs, projects, etc evaluation. Analyzing and responding to feedback from students about their program experience and, specifically, about the quality of teaching, and courses. 					
<u>11-d- Comments of External</u>	Comments about					
<u>Evaluation</u>	 Review of courses ILO's and compatibility with program . Preparation of specification of training field. Increasing the interest of self-learning activates Using old references in some courses. 					



2- Program Development Proposal for 2018/2019					
DOMAIN	Activities	Responsibility	Time frame		
Program Structure	The current situation of the program	The current situation of the program is satisfactory, No modification is requir			
Courses, Deletions and Additions and Modifications	Some topics will be modified to be suitable for stakeholders .	Course coordinator/ Head of Department	September 2018 – May 2019		
Training and Skills	Arranging free workshop time for practical courses where the students can design and realize the experimental works by them selves.	Course coordinator/ Head of Department	September 2018 – May 2019		
E-Learning development	Completion of uploading software courses on the web site (http://dhiet.net/e_learning/)	Course coordinator/ Head of Department	September 2018 – May 2019		
Staff Development	 Allow staff members to attend professional conferences (providing fund) 	Dean	September 2018 – May 2019		
	 Training staff members to upload their e-courses. 	Head of Department	September 2018		
	 Training courses will be provided for staff members in their field and in teaching and general fields. 	Dean	September 2018 – May 2019		

Program Coordinator:

Name	Signature	Date
Ass.Prof. Mahmoud Salah		August 2018

Head of Department:

Name	Signature	Date
Prof. Dr.Saad Moharram		August 2018